IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case 3:14-cr-00039 HVDR DECLINOPRITEZBRNFIDESITOS/C2/2/11/4 TERASE 1 of 1 PageID 37 DALLAS DIVISION

UNITE	D STATES OF AMERICA)	
VS.)	CASE NO.: 3:14-CR-039-M (01)
HUMB	ERTO MARQUEZ-SOTO, Defendant.)))	
	ORDER ACCEPTING RI UNITED STATES MAGISTR	EPORT AND RECOMMEND RATE JUDGE CONCERNING	
Magista 28 U.S. Magista Court a is, Illeg	After reviewing all relevant matters of the defendant, and the Report and rate Judge, and no objections thereto have a concerning the Plea of Guilt country and HUMBE all Reentry After Removal from the I d in accordance with the Court's scheool	d Recommendation Concerning having been filed within fourtee et Judge is of the opinion that the ty is correct, and it is hereby accept MARQUEZ-SOTO is her United States, a violation of 8 kg.	g Plea of Guilty of the United States en days of service in accordance with e Report and Recommendation of the repted by the Court. Accordingly, the reby adjudged guilty of Count 1, that
	The defendant is ordered to remain	n in custody.	
	The Court adopts the findings of the United States Magistrate Judge by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	Upon motion, this matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination, by clear and convincing evidence, of whether the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	The defendant is ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2). The defendant shall self-surrender to the United States Marshal no later than		
	☐ The Government has recomn ☐ This matter shall be set for conditions of release for deter	ood that a motion for acquittal conended that no sentence of important hearing before the United Strmination, by clear and convincing	or new trial will be granted, or
	The defendant is not ordered detained a motion alleging that there are excedetained under § 3143(a)(2). This may who set the conditions of release for exceptional circumstances under § 31 and whether it has been shown by clear a danger to any other person or the conditions.	eptional circumstances under § atter shall be set for hearing before determination of whether it had 45(c) why the defendant should ar and convincing evidence that	§ 3145(c) why he/she should not be re the United States Magistrate Judge as been clearly shown that there are d not be detained under § 3143(a)(2), the defendant is likely to flee or pose

SIGNED this 22nd day of May, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS